Quantum Computing CO484

$Tutorial^*$

Sheet 3–Solutions

Exercise 1 In the quantum teleportation network of Figure 1, the measurements of the first two qubits by Alice will collapse Bob's qubit as follows:

 $00 \mapsto |\psi_3(00)\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$ $01 \mapsto |\psi_3(01)\rangle = \alpha |1\rangle + \beta |0\rangle$ $10 \mapsto |\psi_3(10)\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle - \beta |1\rangle$ $11 \mapsto |\psi_3(11)\rangle = \alpha |1\rangle - \beta |0\rangle$

Alice communicates her two bits mn with Bob over a classical channel. Bob will then send his qubit through the circuit $X^n Z^m$ where

Check that the final result $|\psi_4\rangle$ is indeed the state $|\psi_4\rangle = |\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$.

Solution

$$\mathbf{Z}^{0}\mathbf{X}^{0}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\\beta\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\\beta\end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{Z}^{0}\mathbf{X}^{1}\begin{pmatrix}\beta\\\alpha\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{X}\begin{pmatrix}\beta\\\alpha\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\\beta\end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{Z}^{1}\mathbf{X}^{0}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\-\beta\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{Z}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\-\beta\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\\beta\end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{Z}^{1}\mathbf{X}^{1}\begin{pmatrix}-\beta\\\alpha\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\-1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}-\beta\\\alpha\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\\beta\end{pmatrix}$$

^{*}Partly based on the tutorials by Abbas Edalat and Herbert Wiklicky.



Figure 1: Quantum teleportation

Exercise 2 Let be $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ and $\mathbf{U}_f^n : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with

 $\mathbf{U}_{f}^{n}: |\mathbf{x}, y\rangle \mapsto |\mathbf{x}, y \oplus f(\mathbf{x})\rangle,$

as depicted in Figure 2. Check that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator \mathbf{U}_f^n is a unitary transformation.



Figure 2: A gate for parallel computation

Solution For each $\mathbf{x} = x_1 \cdots x_n$ we have the two possible input qubits $x_i 0$ and $x_i 1$, which correspond to two adjacent rows of \mathbf{U}_f . The action of \mathbf{U}_f on the these two basis vectors is to either leave them unchanged or swap them. Hence, the matrix \mathbf{U}_f has the following two-by-two sub-matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1-f(x) & f(x) \\ f(x) & 1-f(x) \end{array}\right)$$

in the $x_i 0$ and $x_i 1$ row and column positions. Therefore, \mathbf{U}_f induces a permutation of basis vectors and is thus unitary.

Exercise 3 Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} \left| x \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{xy} \left| y \right\rangle \\ \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} \left| \mathbf{x} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]} \left| \mathbf{y} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ is the bitwise inner product of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} modulo 2.

Solution The first equality follows immediately by checking it for x = 0 and x = 1. As for the second, let $\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$. Then by the first equality we can write:

$$\mathbf{H} |x_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{y_i \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{x_i y_i} |y_i\rangle$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{\bigotimes n} \, |\mathbf{x}\rangle &= \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{H} \, |x_{i}\rangle = \\ &= \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{y_{i} \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{x_{i}y_{i}} \, |y_{i}\rangle = \\ &= \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i}} \, |\mathbf{y}\rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^{n}} (-1)^{[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]} \, |\mathbf{y}\rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4 *In order to distinguish a function $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ from constant to balanced with certainty, one needs at least $2^{n-1} + 1$ classical queries. How many classical queries are sufficient for a success probability of $p > \frac{1}{2}$? What does this tell you about the Deutsch-Jozsa problem?

Solution We can think of the Deutsch-Jozsa problem as follows. Alice randomly chooses an element x from a set with cardinal number $N = 2^n$ and sends it to Bob (for simplicity assume that N is even). Bob then applies

a function $f: M \to \{0, 1\}$, which is either constant or balanced. Afterwards Bob tells Alice f(x). Classically Alice has to ask Bob N/2 + 1 times to know for sure if Bob's function is constant or balanced—in the worst case. But if she only wants to know it with probability $p \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, she can do the following. Let k be the number of times that Alice asks Bob. If she gets at least one 0 and at least one 1 she knows for sure that Bob's function is balanced. If she gets the same value k times, she guesses that Bob's function is constant. It follows from elementary combinatorics that the probability that this strategy fails is given by

$$p_{\text{fail}} = \frac{2\binom{N/2}{k}}{\binom{N}{k}} = \frac{2\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(N/2 - i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(N - i)} .$$

It follows that it is sufficient to choose k such that

$$1 - p \ge \frac{2\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(N/2 - i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(N - i)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right) \le \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \log\left(\frac{N-i}{N/2-i}\right) - 1.$$

Now, since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \log\left(\frac{N-i}{N/2-i}\right) \ge k \cdot \log\left(\frac{N}{N/2}\right) = k ,$$

it is sufficient to choose

$$k = \left\lceil \log\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right) + 1 \right\rceil.$$

Remarkably, this is independent of N. Of course for $k \ge N/2 + 1$ the deterministic algorithm gives the answer with certainty. Note that we need randomness to implement the probabilistic algorithm. That is, the Deutsch-Jozsa problem is in **BPP** but not in **P**.

Notice that we can use a simpler strategy in order to compute the failure probability in the regime $k \leq N/2$. In fact, in this regime all the possible 2^k binary sequences of length k could be valid answers for Alice. Alice fails only in the correspondence of two binary sequences of length k: 0...0 and 1...1. Hence, the error probability is

$$p_{\text{fail}} = 1 - p = \frac{2}{2^k} = 2^{1-k}$$

and the same conclusion as above holds.